

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<del></del>	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
APPLICATION NO.	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		Ludo Adriaensen	016782-0230	6512	•
09/857,600		09/10/2001	Ludo Adriaensen			1
22428	7590	10/07/2003		EXAM	INER	j
FOLEY AN		DNER	GRAY, JILL M		13	
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	J	
3000 K STRE WASHINGT	1774					
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> 9</u>			<u>#+ 7</u> (					
i		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/857,600	ADRIAENSEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jill M. Gray	1774					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
Period fo	r Reply	TO EVOIDE 2 MO	NTH(S) FROM					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty strict will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT!	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 July 2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal matt nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.						
<b>Applicat</b>	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	E vitan					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by to	ne Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	isapproved by the Examiner.					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b) u	isapproved by the Examinor.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		s 110(a) (d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	g 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
a	) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	unlikation No					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received in A	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
ļ	The translation of the foreign language     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for december 1. The translation of the foreign language.	ge provisional application has b	een received.					
		omodio phony andor de crete						
2) No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	(48) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takazawa et al, 4,774,105 (Takazawa) in view of Kotera et al, 4,340,519 (Kotera) and Creps 4,358,887, for reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argue that Takazawa is completely silent in regard to a polyester layer immediately on a bright looking surface and that Creps does not disclose the just claimed structure but teaches away from it.

In this regard, it is noted that Takazawa teaches the same structure contemplated by applicants in claim 13, namely, a steel core covered with an intermediate coating layer, and having immediately upon said intermediate coating, a polymer, said polymer being an polyester. See column 2, lines 15-27. Creps is relied upon for all that he would have reasonable imparted to one of ordinary skill in the art, namely, a steel core that is covered with an intermediate layer and immediately thereupon with a polyester polymer, that the intermediate layer can be zinc and applied

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

by the hot-dip method, and wet drawing. Thus, it would appear that the teachings of Creps would have provided clear direction to the skilled artisan for the production of steel wires of the type contemplated by applicants.

Applicants argue that claim 13 has been amended to recite that the covered steel core is drawn to obtain a bright looking surface and that Creps and Kotera are silent in regard to drawing.

In this concern, it is the examiner's position that this limitation is a process limitation whereas claim 13 is drawn to a product, and patentability is based upon the product itself, not the process of making. Moreover, Creps teaches wet drawing, again, providing clear direction to the skilled artisan.

Therefore, the examiner's position remains that when considered as a whole, the combined teachings of Takazawa, Kotera and Creps would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in the present claims.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

ill M. Gray xaminer

jmg